

**Participation in national conferences by the Global-ANSWER Team of the Department of Political and Social Sciences (University of Florence) within the project “Global social work and human mobility: comparative studies on local government and good social work practices in the Euro-Mediterranean region” (Global-ANSWER)**

## **News**

**The UNIFI Team of Global-ANSWER participates in:**

**VII Convegno della Società Scientifica Italiana di Sociologia, Cultura, Comunicazione “‘Non c’è più tempo!’ Crisi ed emergenze nella società contemporanea”(SISCC 2025)**

**Cagliari, 19-20 June 2025**

**with the PAPER**

**“Tra crisi ed emergenza. L'evoluzione dei discorsi politici, giuridici e giornalistici sulle migrazioni in Toscana tra concordanze e divergenze”**

The Horizon 2020 project Global ANSWER – Global Social Work and Human Mobility: Comparative Studies on Local Government and Good Social Work, led by the University of Granada, aims to identify and share good practices in human mobility (migrants, refugees, asylum seekers) across Spain, Italy, and Sweden. It promotes research and training involving universities, municipalities, and third-sector organizations. One of the project's key areas of investigation is the comparison between the reception systems in Tuscany and Andalusia. This paper contributes to that focus by analyzing regional legislation and political debates in Tuscany, specifically Regional Law 45/2019 and Law 29/2009. The study explores how regional administrations frame the migration phenomenon and how political affiliations shape the nature of policy debates. A second component of the research involves a comparison between political-administrative discourse and journalistic narratives produced during the approval process of the most recent law, based on analysis of the Tuscany Region's press review. The objective is to understand how migration is discursively constructed through various, yet interconnected, frames often associated with urgency and emergency, and how these frames affect public policy. The research investigates whether emergency framing in political discourse on migration varies over time, what types of laws and policies emerge from urgency-driven debates, and how media coverage influences the construction of migration discourse. The methodology consists of two phases. The first is a terminological content analysis of three types of texts — political (council debates), legal (the two laws), and journalistic (press review) — where key terms related to the concept of reception are identified and classified as favorable, unfavorable, or neutral. The second phase is a comparative analysis of terminology and language across legislative sessions and sources to trace the evolution of political, legal, and journalistic discourse. This comparison sheds light on how political and media narratives interact, shaping public perception of migration and influencing the success or failure of related policies. This study was conducted within the framework of Global Social Work and Human Mobility: Comparative Studies on Local Government and Good Social Work Practices in the Euro-Mediterranean Region (Global ANSWER), funded by the EU's Horizon 2020 program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 872209. The Agency and the Commission are not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

**Participant:** Silvia Pezzoli

**Link to the abstract:**

<https://www.conftool.net/siscc2025/index.php?page=browseSessions&presentations=show&search=Pezzoli>

**Link to the program:** <https://www.conftool.net/siscc2025/index.php?page=browseSessions>



This Project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 872209